

Spontaneous Mutational Correlations for life-history, morphological and behavioral characters in *Caenorhabditis elegans*

Suzanne Estes^{*1}, Beverly C. Ajie^{*2}, Michael Lynch[†], and Patrick C. Phillips^{*}

^{*}*Center for Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403,*

[†]*Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405 USA*

¹*Corresponding author, present address: Department of Zoology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331-2914. E-mail: estessu@science.oregonstate.edu*

Phone: 541-737-8140

Fax: 541-737-0501

²*Present Address: Department of Ecology & Evolution, One Shields Ave., Storer Hall, Davis, CA 95616*

Running head: Mutational Covariance in *C. elegans*

Keywords: *Caenorhabditis elegans*, genetic constraint, mutational correlation, mutational covariance, pleiotropy.

ABSTRACT

The pattern of mutational covariance among traits plays a central, but largely untested role in many theories in evolutionary genetics. Here we estimate the pattern of phenotypic, environmental and mutational correlations for a set of life-history, behavioral and morphological traits using 67 self-fertilizing lines of *Caenorhabditis elegans*, each having independently experienced an average of 370 generations of spontaneous mutation accumulation. Bivariate relationships of mutational effects indicate the existence of extensive pleiotropy. We find that mutations may tend to produce manifold effects on suites of functionally related traits; however, our data do not support the idea of completely parcelated pleiotropy, in which functional units are separately affected by mutations. Positive net phenotypic and mutational correlations are common for life-history traits, with environmental correlations being comparatively smaller and of the same sign for most pairs of traits. Observed mutational correlations are shown to be higher than those produced by the chance accumulation of nonpleiotropic mutations in the same lines.

Genetic associations between characters are of special importance in evolutionary biology because, depending on their sign and magnitude and on features of the adaptive landscape, they can either facilitate or hinder the integrated evolution of the traits involved (Lande 1981; Via and Lande 1985; Houle 1991; Arnold 1992; Partridge and Barton 1993; Björklund 1996; Raff 1996, Chapter 9; Schluter 1996; Crespi 2000; Etterson and Shaw 2001; Roff 2002; Phillips and McGuigan 2005). The additive genetic covariance between traits is an essential element in many evolutionary genetic theories, including those concerning the amount of standing genetic variation and covariation that can be maintained in populations (e.g., Lande 1975; 1980; 1984; Turelli 1985, 1988; Keightley and Hill 1990; Houle 1991; Charlesworth and Hughes 2000; Zhang & Hill 2003) and the direction and speed of multivariate divergence in response to selection or genetic drift (Lande 1979). Hence, considerable effort has gone toward identifying and measuring genetic correlations in both laboratory and natural populations (e.g., Arnold 1981; Riska et al. 1989; Roff 2000). Although the tremendous growth in evolutionary quantitative genetics over the last two decades has provided a large number of estimates of genetic covariances, we still know very little about their underlying causes, especially from the standpoint of deciphering the forces that influence the long-term evolution of genetic covariance structure (Lande 1980; Cheverud 1984; Stepan et al. 2002). While there have been studies of the effects of selection (Wilkinson et al. 1990; Shaw et al., 1995) and genetic drift (Phillips et al. 2001; Whitlock et al. 2002), there is virtually no empirical information on what may turn out to be the most important determinant of genetic covariances, the pattern of pleiotropic mutation (Jones et al. 2003).

Due to the complexities and interrelatedness of biochemical pathways underlying complex trait development, pleiotropy—the manifold effects of a single gene or set of genes on

traits—is almost certain to be a ubiquitous feature of genetic systems (e.g., Wright 1968; Lande 1980; Wright 1980) and is thought to be required for long-term genetic constraints. For an accurate null model against which to compare and explore the causes of natural patterns of genetic covariation, the contribution of new mutations to the genetic covariance structure (i.e., the rate of input of new genetic covariance and the pattern of its effects) must be taken into account (Lande 1980). This issue has been generally neglected in studies of multivariate evolution. For example, models treating the long-term response of populations to selection assume that patterns of genetic variation and covariation (summarized by the matrix, \mathbf{G}) remain fairly constant or change only in a proportional manner through time (Lande 1976; 1979; Arnold et al. 2001). Yet the pleiotropic input of mutation would be expected to alter the structure of \mathbf{G} unless mutation tends to precisely recreate the patterns of covariance maintained by selection (e.g., Deng et al. 1999). Further, understanding the pleiotropic effects of new mutations is critical for testing hypotheses regarding phenotypic modularity and the evolution of pleiotropy itself (Cheverud 1996; Wagner 1996; Wagner and Altenberg 1996; Hansen 2003).

The inherent pleiotropic effects of spontaneous mutations manifest themselves in divergence or artificial selection experiments as mutational covariances and correlations. Despite their theoretical importance, empirical data on these parameters are quite scarce. This is due primarily to the requirement for a large number of accumulated mutations to achieve sufficient statistical power to detect mutational correlations. The few studies investigating the pleiotropic effects of spontaneously-generated mutations are mainly restricted to a few life-history traits in a single species, *Drosophila melanogaster* (Yoshimaru and Mukai 1985; Houle et al. 1994; Fernández and López-Fanjul 1996; but see Lynch 1985). The estimates of genetic correlations were significantly different from positive one in only a few cases. Additional data exist from

mutagenesis studies (Camara et al. 2000; Keightley et al. 2000), but as chemical mutagenesis may produce a different spectrum of mutations than that arising as a result of natural processes, it is unclear how meaningful such results are for natural populations. Further, the extremely high rates of mutation induced in these experiments, coupled with the possibility of unequal mutagen dosage across lines, make it difficult to determine the extent to which the correlations detected reflect clustering of non-pleiotropic mutations within certain lines versus actual pleiotropy. In any case, the general consensus from these studies seems to be that mutations affecting life-history traits tend to produce intermediate to high positive correlations (but see Fernández and López-Fanjul 1996) with little evidence of genetic tradeoffs generated by antagonistic pleiotropy.

To increase our understanding of the consequences of the pleiotropic effects of mutation for populations, we surveyed the joint influence of spontaneous mutations on pairs of life-history, behavioral and morphological characters in long-term mutation-accumulation lines of the nematode *C. elegans*. This study constitutes the first rigorous investigation of the covariance among *spontaneous* mutations for a broad variety of complex characters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mutation-accumulation lines: The current experiment was conducted using 67 mutation-accumulation (MA) lines of *C. elegans* (Vassilieva and Lynch 1999; Vassilieva et al. 2000; Denver et al. 2000; Denver et al. 2004; Ajie et al., submitted) that had undergone an average of 370 single-individual population bottlenecks. The MA experiment was initiated from offspring of a single, highly inbred Bristol-N2 hermaphrodite. As described in Vassilieva and Lynch (1999), offspring of that individual were used to establish 100 replicate lines that were then propagated independently by transfer of a single, randomly selected L4 hermaphrodite each

generation. Such treatment minimizes selection against mildly detrimental mutations, ensuring accumulation at a rate nearly equal that of their occurrence. Because *C. elegans* reproduces by self-fertilization, this procedure also rapidly removes heterozygosity. During the initial subdivision of the MA lines, many thousands of worms were stored cryogenically for use as a control. To prevent accidental line loss, MA lines that went extinct were re-initiated from populations of the previous generation as many as five consecutive times prior to being considered extinct in the experiment. Even with this treatment, 33 of the original 100 lines went extinct at a fairly steady pace over the course of the MA experiment, suggesting the possibility that many of these extinctions were a direct consequence of deleterious mutation accumulation. In any event, this study disregards lethal and extremely detrimental mutations. Experimental lines were cultured at 20° on Petri plates containing NGM agar seeded with a suspension of OP50 *E. coli* as a food source (Sulston and Hodgkin 1988).

The MA lines had acquired significant mutation loads at the outset of this experiment (Vassilieva et al. 2000; Ajie et al., submitted). The mean phenotypes relative to control values had declined by approximately 46% for progeny production, 12% for survival to maturity and 32% for intrinsic population growth rate (r) after an average of 214 single-individual bottlenecks (see Figure 2 in Vassilieva et al. 2000). Average estimates of the per generation genomic rate of mutation to deleterious alleles made using the rate of change in the means and among-line variances of traits is 0.033 for productivity, 0.003 for survival, and 0.025 for r . (These values represent the average of estimates made using the Bateman-Mukai and maximum likelihood techniques from Vassilieva et al. 2000). This leads to the prediction that, after 370 generations of MA, there are expected to be an average of approximately 6.1, 0.6, and 4.6 mutations per line with measurable effects on each of these traits, respectively. Based on the nuclear mutation rate

estimated from direct sequencing of > 4 Mb of DNA from a recent study of these lines (Denver et al. 2004), 2.1×10^{-8} per generation, we can approximate the expected mean number of mutations per line with potential effects on fitness. Coding DNA constitutes approximately 30% of the *C. elegans* genome, or $\sim 26.2 \times 10^6$ bp (The *C. elegans* Sequencing Consortium 1998). Disregarding codon bias (making our estimate more conservative) and assuming all types of substitutions are equally probable, 75% of all possible nucleotide substitutions are expected to cause an amino acid change (Li 1997, Table 1.4). Using these parameters, we expect there to be an average of approximately 153 nonsynonymous mutations per line after 370 generations (i.e., $2.1 \times 10^{-8} * 26.2 \times 10^6$ bp coding DNA * 370 generations * 0.75). The source of the discrepancy between the estimates of mutation rate based on phenotypic versus molecular analyses is unlikely due to insufficient statistical power of divergence experiments to detect mutations; if mutations of small effect occurred frequently enough, their cumulative effects should be detectable. Rather, the difference is most likely indicative of a class of mutations with effects nearly or entirely neutral in the laboratory environment (Davies et al. 1999; Estes et al. 2004).

Body size and life-history assays: Prior to the 370-generation assay, all lines were expanded into five replicates by transferring single, randomly selected L4 individuals to fresh plates. These animals were allowed to self reproduce and random L4 worms were again transferred to new plates. Immediately after these second-generation L4 individuals were transferred, a digital image was recorded for each animal using a Nikon digital camera mounted to a compound microscope. Individual body width at the vulva (easily identifiable at the L4 stage) was measured manually using Image Pro Plus image analysis software.

The above animals were allowed to self-reproduce and fitness components were measured on randomly selected offspring from each replicate. The same general procedure of line

subdivision was applied to 20 thawed control animals. Single individuals were transferred to fresh plates daily and progeny production was measured by directly counting the progeny produced over the first four days of life, covering the majority of the reproductive period. “Early productivity” is the number of offspring produced on the first two days of reproduction combined, whereas “late productivity” is the number of offspring produced on the third and fourth days of reproduction. Intrinsic population growth rate was also calculated for each line by solving $\sum e^{-rx} l(x) m(x) = 1$ for r , where $l(x)$ is the proportion of worms surviving to day x and $m(x)$ is the fecundity at day x .

Behavioral assays: As detailed in Ajie et al. (submitted), we estimated the effects of spontaneous mutation on behavioral traits by measuring previously well-characterized and ecologically relevant aspects of individual behavioral response (Pierce-Shimomura et al. 1999) to the chemical repellent linoleic acid, a nematocidal fatty acid isolated from Basidiomycetes (Stadler et al. 1994). Using a computer tracking system (Pierce-Shimomura et al. 1999; Ajie et al., submitted), we measured three behavioral characters related to chemotaxis on individual worms: 1) “directness”, the ratio of the beeline distance, to the total path length traversed by a worm, 2) turn frequency ($> 90^\circ$ changes in direction per minute), and 3) average instantaneous velocity. To establish a baseline for locomotory response in the absence of an olfactory cue, a series of assays were also conducted for the control lines with no repellent (Ajie et al., submitted).

Due to the time involved in the behavioral measurements, these assays had to be carried out over a number of days. To control for possible variation in laboratory conditions across days, each MA line was assayed in parallel with a control line. We initiated a single base control line from our frozen ancestral N2 stock and propagated this line for four generations prior to the

assay by single-progeny descent to avoid maternal environment effects and to insure homozygosity. From this line, maintained by single-progeny descent for the remainder of the assay, control pseudo-lines were established as necessary. Assays were conducted on five randomly selected progeny descendant from the founding individual of each MA and N2 line. Assays were carried out in a temperature-controlled room at 21° on 26 days over the course of almost two months. This amount of time corresponds to approximately 14 generations in *C. elegans*, and as the per character mutation rate is quite low (Vassilieva et al. 2000), it is unlikely that this was a sufficient period to cause significant mutational deterioration in the control.

A slight temporal trend across days in turn frequency and velocity data was corrected by regressing the control line scores on day and then using the residuals for subsequent analysis. Directness was arcsine-square-root transformed and velocity and turn frequency were square-root transformed before analyses.

Effect of spontaneous mutation on trait means and variances: Insight into the mutability of each trait can be gained from the per generation rate of decline in mean phenotype (R_m) and the mutational heritability (h_m^2), defined as the per generation rate of increase in heritability due to mutation. H2boot (Phillips 2002, subroutine for a one-way ANOVA among RI lines) was used to calculate R_m , the mutational variance (V_m) and h_m^2 for each trait. The rates of change in the mean of each phenotype were calculated simply as the difference between the MA and control mean scaled by the number of generations of MA. The per generation percent change in the mean of each phenotype was calculated as R_m divided by the control mean phenotype for each trait. Mutational variance, the per generation increase in additive genetic variance caused by mutation, is taken to be half the rate of increase in the among-line variance, assumed to have begun at zero. As these calculations are made on the basis of a single assay, they assume a linear

decline in mean phenotype and linear increase in among-line variance as mutations accumulate. Such a pattern has been shown for life-history traits in these lines (Vassilieva et al. 2000) and is a common feature of most MA studies (Lynch and Walsh 1998). Barring mutations that arose during the previous three to four generations, and thus might still be segregating (see Discussion in Estes & Lynch 2003), replicates within each MA line will be genetically identical. Therefore, the within-line component of variance is taken to be a direct estimate of environmental sources of variation and the among-line variance a measure of total genetic variation. Significance levels were determined by generating 10,000 bootstrap estimates (with replacement) resampled at the level of line.

Estimation of covariances and correlations: Covariance estimates generated by H2boot (Phillips 2002) were poorly behaved due to extreme outliers; therefore, covariances and correlations at the phenotypic, genotypic (mutational) and environmental levels and their standard errors were estimated for the MA lines by least-squares estimation of the variance and covariance components with a delete-one-family jackknife procedure. The quantitative genetic parameters reported are thus the averages of the jackknifed estimates for the data set. Significance levels of these parameters were adjusted using the sequential Bonferroni method for 21 tests (Rice 1989). For the mutational correlations found to be significantly different than zero, we conducted t-tests using the jackknife estimates of mutational correlations as the sample mean and their standard errors as the standard error of the mean to test whether mutational correlations were also significantly different from +1.0 (Knapp et al. 1989).

For logistical reasons, behavioral and life-history traits were measured on different individuals from each line (see above), but both assays were conducted at approximately the same time by two experimenters and individuals in each assay shared the same incubator. Body

width was measured on the parents of the individuals included in the life-history assay.

Consequently, the correlations we report between different character classes are technically the correlations between genetically identical pairs of lines that were not separated by more than ten generations of single-individual bottlenecks. Because of our experimental design, we feel that it is reasonable to interpret these correlations as if the measurements were taken on the same individuals. This approach is conservative since in this case the within-family (environmental) variance is increased relative to the among-family (genetic) component of variance.

Variance among control lines: A caveat for all of the above analyses is that variation among control lines is not zero for all traits—not a new problem to the long-term MA experiment (e.g., Vassilieva et al. 2000). For the current study, we estimate low (around 0.1) but significant broad sense heritabilities for late productivity, body width, and turn rate in the control (data not shown). Although the order and orientation of trays on which we kept the Petri plates containing our lines were randomized on each day of the assay, replicate lines were kept in a non-random order on the trays. This likely indicates that a portion of the (co)variation of traits measured on MA lines is not due to new genetic variability, but is rather partially a result of shared environmental effects due to geographic structure in the experimental lines. We repeated the analyses after subtracting the control among-line variance. This correction had no effect on estimates of mutational correlations and minor effects on other parameter estimates (data not shown), so we present the estimates without this correction to facilitate statistical analysis. In addition, there was a significant effect of the order of transfer on mean late productivity, r , and body width in the control lines such that all three traits declined as the assay progressed. As described in detail in Vassilieva and Lynch (1999), great care is taken to ensure that hermaphrodites entering the fitness assays are transferred to fresh plates at the same time (± 30

min.) during each day of the assay. We are therefore uncertain as to the source of this trend, but corrected for it by first regressing the control line means on elapsed time during the first transfer of the assay for each of the three characters. Scores for each MA line were then corrected using $Y = b(\text{control grand mean} - \text{control block mean})$, where Y is the corrected MA line score, b is the coefficient from the regression of control line means on elapsed time, and block is the particular tray on which the group of control and MA lines were placed during the assay. The correction had no substantial affect on the main results and, since no such temporal trend was present in the MA data, it is possible that the correction was overly conservative.

RESULTS

Mutational Covariances and Correlations: As confirmed previously for life-history characters (Vassilieva and Lynch 1999; Vassilieva et al. 2000), all traits showed significant values of V_m (Table 1), as well as a significant decline in mean phenotype (R_m) compared to the control (Ajie et al. submitted). The mutational covariances are reflected in the patterns observed for the mutational correlations for each of the 21 trait pairs (Table 1). Mutational correlations are large, positive and highly significant between all pairs of life-history traits. Positive intermediate mutational correlations are also observed between body width and the three life-history traits related to reproduction (e.g., Fig.1). Two pairs of behavioral traits showed significant, negative mutational correlations—turn rate-directness (Fig. 1) and turn rate-velocity, though the latter was not significant after correcting for multiple comparisons. Turn rate and directness are expected to be intrinsically correlated to some degree as a worm that turns more frequently is necessarily less direct in its trajectory away from a chemical repellent. However, these are not simply different measurements of the same trait since the opposite scenario is not always true (i.e., worms with a

low turn rate do not always exhibit high directness). In fact, data from a natural population of a different *Caenorhabditis* species show no correlation between these traits (P. C. Phillips and B. C. Ajie, unpubl. data). Finally, there are significant mutational correlations between velocity and the three fertility-related traits and between velocity and body width, although none were significant after the correction for multiple comparisons was performed (Table 1).

Phenotypic and environmental correlations: The phenotypic correlations (Table 2), composite functions of the genetic and environmental correlations, mirror quite closely the mutational correlations both in sign and relative magnitude ($r = 0.90$, $P < 0.001$). Environmental correlations, the correlation of environmental deviations including non-additive genetic deviations (Falconer and Mackay 1996), are positive and significant for all pairs of life-history traits. All statistically significant environmental correlations are of the same sign as the corresponding estimates for phenotypic correlations.

DISCUSSION

We have studied the effects of spontaneous mutations on life-history, body size and behavioral characters in a set of lines independently derived from a homozygous base population. Analyses reveal significant changes in the mean of each trait as well as significant levels of accumulated mutational variance, in accordance with previous results for life-history traits (Vassilieva et al. 2000). The behavioral traits we measured are each related to chemotaxis, the primary means whereby *C. elegans* perceives and responds to its environment (Troemel 1999; Jovelin et al. 2003). Consequently, these traits have great potential to affect total fitness in nature. Putatively, 10% of the *C. elegans* genome is devoted to chemosensory function (Bargmann 1998). Combined with genes controlling locomotion (e.g., toward or away from a

chemical stimulus), these genomic regions are expected to comprise a fairly large mutational target, though likely not equivalent to those underlying life-history characters (Ajie et al. submitted).

Our findings for body width are qualitatively similar to those from a recent analysis of total body volume for the same lines after 152 generations of MA (Azevedo et al. 2002). Their study, also consistent with mutagenesis experiments in *Drosophila* (Keightley and Ohnishi 1998), found that mutation leads to reduced body size far more often than it increases size. However, Azevedo et al.'s (2002) estimate of h_m^2 for their measurement of body size—total body volume—is more than twice what we estimate in the current study for body width (0.4% versus 0.1% per generation). This, not surprisingly, indicates that total body volume is a more mutable trait than body width.

We find that newly-arising mutations affecting life-history tend to act pleiotropically, decreasing bivariate phenotypes. All life-history characters are significantly, positively correlated at the phenotypic, mutational and environmental levels, suggesting that the majority of new mutations will have deleterious pleiotropic effects on all components of fitness (e.g., Fig. 1). We find no evidence at the phenotypic or genetic level for life-history trade-offs being generated by antagonistic pleiotropy, in agreement with findings from EMS-mutagenized lines of *C. elegans* (Keightley et al. 2000). Although the mutational correlations between certain life-history traits are extremely high (e.g., early productivity and r) and must to some extent reflect overlapping measurement, there is little evidence from the bivariate analyses for the existence of any absolute genetic constraints among the traits we measured. All mutational correlations that showed a significant difference from zero were also statistically different than +1.0 (t-tests, $P <$

0.05) Thus, there is unlikely to be complete genetic overlap in the control of any trait pairs that we studied.

Statistically significant environmental correlations were found to be of the same sign as mutational correlations in every case. This indicates that genetic and environmental sources of variance operate along similar pathways and that, insofar as the environmental correlations detected here reflect those likely to be present in nature, residual environmental effects would not reduce the efficiency of natural selection by diminishing the correlation between genotype and phenotype. In a few cases (e.g., between directness and velocity) there were highly significant phenotypic correlations solely as a result of environmental correlations. This exemplifies the well-known hazard involved in inferring genetic correlations from phenotypic data (e.g., Willis et al. 1991).

Underlying causes of detected mutational correlations: The necessity of large sample sizes, in addition to the time involved in measuring a number of different characters, makes the estimation of mutational correlations a challenging endeavor. And even when statistically significant mutational correlations are detected in a quantitative genetic experiment, there is generally not one indisputable interpretation of the result. As outlined below, several non-mutually exclusive possibilities exist when such correlations are detected.

Pleiotropic mutations with correlated effects: This is the case as modeled by Lande (1980) in which single mutations produce correlated effects on the traits that they influence, i.e., the effects of a mutation on each of the characters it influences are drawn from the same (multivariate Gaussian) distribution. Although different researchers have employed a variety of distributions for mutational effects, this is the general model of pleiotropic mutation assumed in most quantitative genetic theoretical studies (e.g., Jones et al. 2003; Zhang and Hill 2003).

Pleiotropic mutations with uncorrelated effects: For their maximum likelihood inferences of bivariate mutational effects, Keightley et al. (2000) employed a model in which every mutation produces some effect on each of two traits (i.e., universal pleiotropy), but these effects can be uncorrelated. Specifically, the effects of a single mutation on the two traits were independently drawn from bivariate gamma distributions having different scale parameters depending on the mutation rate and the reduction in mean phenotype observed in their MA lines. This model simply highlights the point that, although mutations generating genetic correlations may be pleiotropic on both traits, the distribution of mutational effects need not be correlated.

This situation can lead to the over- or underestimation of mutational correlations from mutation accumulation experiments, particularly when experimental lines contain different numbers of pleiotropic mutations. This is because lines that harbor the most mutations (pleiotropic or not) will tend to be extreme for both traits, even if the effects of the pleiotropic mutations are uncorrelated. The results of Keightley et al. (2000) suggest that MA experiments may be inadequate for describing the fine scale distribution of pleiotropic effects, but do not imply that detection of evolutionarily meaningful mutational correlations is beyond the reach of this experimental approach. An extreme example of this would be lethal mutations, as these lines display generalized deleterious effects. Inclusion of these lines in the current analysis would have the predictable effect of enhancing the overall pattern of genetic correlation, although the usefulness of those correlations would be less because this effect would be due to “diffuse” pleiotropy.

Statistical association among traits due to sampling: A far more insidious problem could arise when *apparent* mutational correlations are generated by sampling the “wrong” experimental lines by chance. The worst case scenario here would occur when mutations of large

effect at independent loci—each independently affecting two or more traits—happen to arise in the same line. In this case there would be mutational correlation without pleiotropy. If this occurs frequently, then MA experiments will be practically useless for studying any properties of mutational covariance. We performed calculations to test the likelihood that such sampling could produce spurious mutational correlations of the magnitude that we estimate from our data. Based on B-M estimates of mutation rate and average effect size for each character, we calculated the expected mutational correlation due to the accumulation of non-pleiotropic mutations in our lines. We found that the expected correlations due to sampling fell between +0.262 and -0.257 (data not shown). Since all of our statistically significant correlation estimates fall outside of this range (Table 1), the effects of sampling appear insufficient to explain our findings. Additionally, these analyses confirmed that neither the mutational effect size nor the number of mutations per line substantially affect the expected correlation due to sampling.

Although we cannot formally distinguish between the effect of lines having accumulated multiple, non-pleiotropic mutations and actual pleiotropy in our study, the likelihood of multiple non-pleiotropic mutations arising in the same MA lines is less likely in our study than in studies utilizing chemical mutagenesis (e.g., Camara et al. 2000; Keightley et al. 2000). The high mutation rates induced in these studies along with the chance of unequal mutagen doses across lines enhance the likelihood of correlations among mutational probabilities being generated. In any case, some of our most convincing evidence for the existence of true pleiotropy is the fact that we observe no generalized mutational correlations across all traits. This pattern would be expected if the apparent correlations were driven purely by the existence of multiple non-pleiotropic mutations in the experimental lines.

Hidden pleiotropy: Finally, only the net pleiotropic effects are likely to be captured by MA experiments. If the pleiotropic effects of different loci tend to cancel each other, there could be some degree of “hidden pleiotropy” (Baatz and Wagner 1997). Strong pleiotropy can therefore exist without resulting in strong mutational correlations.

Significance for evolutionary and conservation genetics: Genetic variation observed for fitness correlates is thought to be at least partly explained by a balance between recurrent mutation, genetic drift and selection (Barton and Turelli 1989; Houle 1996; Falconer and Mackay 1996, Chapter 20; Lynch et al. 1998; Charlesworth and Hughes 2000). Our study indicates that polygenic covariance will be continually augmented by new, heritable covariance as well. In agreement with most studies of mutation, the majority of the mutational variation (and covariation) that accumulated in our lines is clearly detrimental in the laboratory environment. Such observations have led to the proposal that a large portion of the standing genetic variance in populations may simply reflect transient deleterious variation ineffectual for adaptive evolution (Houle et al. 1996), a view supported by a number of empirical studies (Houle et al. 1996; Lynch and Walsh 1998, Chapter 12). Under a scenario of deleterious mutation-selection balance, depending on the bivariate distribution of mutational effects, the synergistic pleiotropy for fitness correlates detected in this study would likely be beneficial as selection could more effectively eradicate such mutations from a population.

Similarly, positive pleiotropy may have greatly facilitated the fitness restoration observed in a previous study of these lines (Estes and Lynch 2003). Under a regime of large population size exposed to selection, MA lines were shown on average to rapidly recover original levels of mean fitness, most likely as a result of selection for compensatory mutations. If a compensatory mutation had positive pleiotropic effects on multiple components of fitness, the selective

advantage of this allele would be considerably larger than for mutations that acted to compensate single traits (e.g., Poon and Otto 2000).

While positive pleiotropic effects of deleterious mutations could promote the eradication of such variants in nature, in populations where natural selection is relaxed (e.g., experimental lines, small captive populations of endangered species) if a mutation negatively influences multiple fitness components, the total effect of mutation for populations will obviously be magnified. This mutational load will be underestimated if all such components are not measured. Alternatively, negative pleiotropic effects could result in overestimates of the total mutational load on fitness. Our results indicate that the former could be a significant problem, particularly since many studies of spontaneous mutation have focused on a single component of fitness such as juvenile viability or adult productivity. Additionally, if pleiotropic gene action is environmentally dependent, these biases could be even more extreme.

Implications for genetic modularity and evolution of genetic correlations:

Understanding the mechanisms that bring about correlations between different characters will be essential to understanding phenotypic and genetic integration (e.g., Wright 1918, 1935; Berg 1959; Wagner 1996; Wagner and Altenberg 1996; Cheverud 1996; Hansen 2003) and its role in promoting or constraining evolution (e.g., Stepan et al. 2002; Jones et al. 2003). Wagner's (1996) model addressing the evolution of pleiotropy by differential epistasis predicts that only loci selected for a common function will evolve or maintain pleiotropic effects. This is taken to mean that the natural patterns of covariance should evolve to match the pattern of stabilizing selection and the pattern of mutational effects, thereby permitting the integrated evolution of functionally related characters (Cheverud 1984, 1996; Bürger 2000).

Recent quantitative genetic and QTL studies have yielded some support for these ideas as genomic regions found to produce manifold effects have been generally restricted to suites of functionally and developmentally related traits (Cheverud et al. 1997, 2004; Leamy et al. 1999; Shook and Johnson 1999; Klingenberg et al. 2004, but see Knight et al. 2001). For newly arising variants, we too find little evidence for widespread pleiotropy between traits from different functional classes—at least as we have defined such classes. There were however, extensive correlations between width of L4 individuals and fertility traits (e.g., Fig. 1). Yet, these traits are likely to be at least partially functionally correlated, as body width would place an upper bound on gonad size and, presumably by default, fertility. Though we did not measure a large of number traits from “unrelated” character classes, our results lend provisional support for the hypothesis of genetic independence of functionally unrelated traits. However, in the absence of data on the action of natural selection on patterns of covariance, the hypothesis of the evolution of conformity between mutational and natural covariance patterns remains untested.

If the G -matrix were stable over the course of evolutionary time, extrapolation of the multivariate breeders’ equation over multiple generations would be useful for predicting long-term evolutionary potential and revealing genetic constraints (Lande 1979). However, since the components of G , the genetic (co)variances, are functions of underlying gene frequencies (Falconer and Makcay 1996), it is subject to evolutionary modification by all of the same forces that affect the genetic variation. Theoretical and empirical evidence clearly demonstrate that G , while it may in some cases remain stable at the within-species level, can change in variety of ways over long periods of time (reviewed in Steppan et al. 2002). As such, predictive theories of character evolution must eventually be reconciled with a mechanistic understanding of precisely how G -matrix components evolve (Arnold et al. 2001; Phillips and McGuigan 2005). Our study

indicates that the pleiotropic input of mutation cannot be ignored as a potential evolutionary force for G . However, in this context, data on the mutational integration of traits are of limited meaning in the absence of knowledge of patterns of covariance among characters in natural populations. If the majority of mutations have large negative impacts on fitness, pleiotropism will act to increase their selective effects in nature. This will cause such mutations to be more effectively purged from populations thereby prohibiting their involvement in the evolution of G -matrices.

Comparative studies of mutational and standing genetic covariance to determine how natural selection responds to the genetic (co)variance generated by pleiotropic mutation and whether mutation tends to recreate patterns of covariation maintained by selection (Lande, 1980) are badly needed. Such studies are crucial for generating accurate null models to test alternative hypotheses regarding the underlying causes of patterns of divergence between taxa (Lande 1976; 1979; Lofsvold, 1986; Lynch 1990; Arnold et al. 2001; Stepan et al. 2002), for inferring evolutionary constraints or limits to artificial selection (Arnold 1992; Falconer and Mackay 1996), as well as to assess the adequacy of models regarding the mechanisms maintaining genetic variation (Barton and Turelli 1989; Houle et al. 1994) and the evolution of pleiotropism (Cheverud 1996).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank S. Arnold, the UO-OSU G -matrix seminar group, C. Baer, F. Shaw, R. Shaw, and two anonymous reviewers for helpful discussion and comments. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant (GM54185) and National Science Foundation Grant (DEB-0088083) to PCP, National Institutes of Health grant (GM36827) to ML, and by training

fellowships from the National Science Foundation (DBI-9413223) and the U.S. Public Health Service (GM-07413) to SE.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ajie, B. C., S. Estes, M. Lynch, and P. C. Phillips, 2005 Behavioral degradation under mutation accumulation. *Genetics* **##:####-####**.
- Arnold, S. J., 1981 Behavioral variation in natural populations. I. Phenotypic, genetic and environmental correlations between chemoreceptive responses to prey in the garter snake, *Thamnophis elegans*. *Evolution* **35**: 489-509.
- 1992 Constraints on phenotypic evolution. *Am. Nat.* **140**: S85-S107.
- Arnold, S. J., M. E. Pfrender, and A. G. Jones, 2001 The adaptive landscape as a conceptual bridge between micro- and macroevolution. *Genetica* **112/113**: 9-32.
- Azevedo, R. B. R., P. D. Keightley, C. Laurén-Määttä, L. L. Vassilieva, M. Lynch, and A. M. Leroi, 2002 Spontaneous mutational variation for body size in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **162**: 755-765.
- Baatz, M., and G. P. Wagner, 1997 Adaptive inertia caused by hidden pleiotropic effects. *Theor. Pop. Biol.* **51**: 49-66.
- Bargmann, C. I., 1998 Neurobiology of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome. *Science* **282**: 2028-2033.
- Barton, N. H., and M. Turelli, 1989 Evolutionary quantitative genetics: how little do we know? *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **23**: 337-370.
- Berg, R. L., 1960 The ecological significance of correlation pleiades. *Evolution* **14**: 171-180.
- Björklund, M., 1996 The importance of evolutionary constraints in ecological time scales. *Evol. Ecol.* **10**: 423-431.
- Bürger, R., 2000 *The Mathematical Theory of Selection, Recombination, and Mutation*. Wiley, Chichester, England.

- Camara, M. D., C. A. Ancell, and M. Pigliucci, 2000 Induced mutations: a novel tool to study phenotypic integration and evolutionary constraints in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Evol. Ecol. Res.* **2**: 1009-1029.
- Charlesworth, B., and K. A. Hughes, 2000 The maintenance of genetic variation for life-history traits, pp. 369-392 in *Evolutionary Genetics: From Molecules to Morphology, Vol. 1.*, edited by R. S. Singh and C. B. Krimbas. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U. K.
- Cheverud, J. M., 1984 Quantitative genetics and developmental constraints on evolution by selection. *J. Theor. Biol.* **110**: 155-171.
- Cheverud, J. M., 1996 Developmental integration and the evolution of pleiotropy. *Am. Zool.* **36**: 44-50.
- Cheverud, J. M., T. H. Ehrich, T. T. Vaughn, S. F. Koreishi, R. B. Linsey, and L. S. Pletscher, 2004 Pleiotropic effects on mandibular morphology II: Differential epistasis and genetic variation in morphological integration. *J. Exp. Zool.* **302B**: 42-35.
- Cheverud, J. M., E. J. Routman, and D. J. Irschick, 1997 Pleiotropic effects of individual gene loci on mandibular morphology. *Evolution* **51**: 2006-2016.
- Crespi, B. J., 2000 The evolution of maladaptation. *Heredity* **84**: 623-629.
- Davies, E. K., A. D. Peters, and P. D. Keightley, 1999 High frequency of cryptic deleterious mutations in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science* **285**: 1748-1751.
- Deng, H.-W., V. Haynatzka, K. Spitze, and G. Haynatzki, 1999 The determination of genetic covariances and prediction of evolutionary trajectories based on a genetic correlation matrix. *Evolution* **53**: 1592-1599.

- Denver, D. R., K. Morris, M. Lynch, and W. K. Thomas, 2004 High mutation rate and predominance of insertions in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* nuclear genome. *Nature* **430**: 679-682.
- Denver, D. R., K. Morris, M. Lynch, L.L. Vassilieva, and W. K. Thomas, 2000 High direct estimates of the mutation rate in the mitochondrial genome of *C. elegans*. *Science* **289**: 2342-2344.
- Estes, S., and M. Lynch, 2003 Rapid fitness recovery in mutationally degraded lines of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Evolution* **57**: 1022-1030.
- Estes, S., P. C. Phillips, D. R. Denver, W. K. Thomas, and M. Lynch, 2004 Mutation accumulation in populations of varying size: The distribution of mutational effects for fitness correlates in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **166**: 1269-1279.
- Etterson, J. R., and R. G. Shaw, 2001 Constraint to adaptive evolution in response to global warming. *Science* **294**: 151-154.
- Falconer, D. S., and T. F. C. Mackay, 1996 *Introduction to Quantitative Genetics*, 4th ed., Longman, Essex, U. K.
- Fernández, J., and C. López-Fanjul, 1996 Spontaneous mutational variances and covariances for fitness-related traits in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **143**: 829-837.
- Hansen, T. F., 2003 Is modularity necessary for evolvability? Remarks on the relationship between pleiotropy and evolvability. *BioSystems* **2189**: 1-12.
- Houle, D., 1991 Genetic covariance of fitness correlates: what genetic correlations are made of and why it matters. *Evolution* **45**: 630-648.

- Houle, D., K. A. Hughes, D. K. Hoffmaster, J. Ihara, S. Assimacopoulos, D. Canada, and B. Charlesworth, 1994 The effects of spontaneous mutation on quantitative traits. I. Variances and covariances of life history traits. *Genetics* **138**: 773-785.
- Houle, D., B. Morikawa, and M. Lynch, 1996 Comparing mutational variabilities. *Genetics* **143**: 1467-1483.
- Jones, A. G., S. J. Arnold, and R. Bürger, 2003 Stability of the G-matrix in a population experiencing pleiotropic mutation, stabilizing selection, and genetic drift. *Evolution* **57**: 1747-1760.
- Jovelin, R., B. C. Ajie and P. C. Phillips, 2003 Molecular evolution and quantitative variation for chemosensory behaviour in the nematode genus *Caenorhabditis*. *Mol. Ecol.* **12**: 1325-1337.
- Keightley, P. D., and W. G. Hill, 1990 Variation maintained in quantitative traits with mutation-selection balance: pleiotropic side-effects on fitness traits. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* **242**: 95-100.
- Keightley, P. D., and O. Ohnishi, 1998 EMS-induced polygenic mutation rates for nine quantitative characters in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **148**: 753-766.
- Keightley, P. D., E. K. Davies, A. D. Peters, and R. G. Shaw, 2000 Properties of ethylmethane sulfonate-induced mutations affecting life-history traits in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and inferences about bivariate distributions of mutation effects. *Genetics* **156**: 143-154.
- Klingenberg, C. P., L. J. Leamy, and J. M. Cheverud, 2004 Integration and modularity of quantitative trait locus effects on geometric shape in the mouse mandible. *Genetics* **166**: 1909-1921.

- Knapp, S. J., W. C. Bridges, Jr., and M.-H. Yang, 1989 Nonparametric confidence interval estimators for heritability and expected selection response. *Genetics* **121**: 891-898.
- Knight, C. G., R. B. R. Azevedo, and A. M. Leroi, 2001 Testing life-history pleiotropy in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Evolution* **55**: 1795-1804.
- Lande, R., 1975 The maintenance of genetic variability by mutation in a polygenic character with linked loci. *Genet. Res.* **26**: 221-235.
- Lande, R., 1976 The maintenance of genetic variability by mutation in a polygenic character with linked loci. *Genet. Res.* **26**: 221-235.
- Lande, R., 1979. Quantitative genetic analysis of multivariate evolution, applied to brain:body size allometry. *Evolution* **33**: 402-416.
- Lande, R., 1980. The genetic covariance between characters maintained by pleiotropic mutations. *Genetics* **94**: 203-215.
- Lande, R., 1981. Models of speciation by sexual selection on polygenic traits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **78**: 3721-3725.
- Lande, R., 1984. The genetic correlation between characters maintained by selection, linkage and inbreeding. *Genet. Res.* **44**: 309-320.
- Leamy, L. J., E. J. Routman and J. M. Cheverud, 1999 Quantitative trait loci for early- and late-developing skull characters in mice: a test of the genetic independence model of morphological integration. *Am. Nat.* **153**: 201-214.
- Li, W.-H., 1997 *Molecular Evolution*. Sinauer, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Lovsfold, D., 1986 Quantitative genetics of morphological differentiation in *Peromyscus*. I. Tests of the homogeneity of genetic covariance structure among species and subspecies. *Evolution* **40**: 559-573.

Lynch, M., 1985 Spontaneous mutation for life-history characters in an obligate parthenogen. *Evolution* **39**: 804-818.

Lynch, M., 1990. The rate of morphological evolution in mammals from the standpoint of the neutral expectation. *Am. Nat.* **136**: 727-741.

Lynch, M., and B. Walsh, 1998 *Genetic Analysis of Quantitative Traits*. Sinauer, Sunderland, Mass.

Lynch, M., L. Latta, J. Hicks, and M. Giorgianni, 1998 Mutation, selection, and the maintenance of life-history variation in a natural population. *Evolution* **52**: 727-733.

Partridge, L., and N. H. Barton, 1993 Optimality, mutation, and the evolution of ageing. *Nature* **362**: 305-311.

Phillips, P. C., 2002 H2boot: bootstrap estimates and tests of quantitative genetic data, University of Oregon, software available at <http://darkwing.uoregon.edu/~pphil/software.html>.

Phillips, P.C., and K. L. McGuigan, 2005 Evolution of genetic variance-covariance structure, pp. XX in *Evolutionary Genetics: Concepts and Case Studies*, edited by C.W. Fox and J.B. Wolf (eds). Oxford University Press, Oxford, England.

Phillips, P.C., M.C. Whitlock, and K.A. Fowler, 2001 Inbreeding changes the shape of the genetic covariance matrix in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **158**:1137-1145.

Pierce-Shimomura, J. T., T. M. Morse, and S. R. Lockery, 1999 The fundamental role of pirouettes in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *J. Neuro.* **19**: 9557-9569.

Poon, A., and S. P. Otto, 2000 Compensating for our load of mutations: freezing the meltdown of small populations. *Evolution* **54**: 1467-1479.

- Raff, R. A., 1996 *The Shape of Life: Genes, Development, and the Evolution of Animal Form*.
University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Rice, W. R., 1989 Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution* **43**: 223-225.
- Riska, B., T. Prout, and M. Turelli, 1989 Laboratory estimates of heritabilities and genetic correlations in nature. *Genetics* **123**: 865-871.
- Roff, D. A., 2000 Trade-offs between growth and reproduction: an analysis of the quantitative genetic evidence. *J. Evol. Biol.* **13**: 434-445.
- Roff, D. A., 2002 *Life History Evolution*. Sinauer, Sunderland, Mass.
- Schluter, D. 1996. Adaptive radiation along lines of least resistance. *Evolution* **50**: 1766-1774.
- Shaw, F. H., R. G. Shaw, G. S. Wilkinson and M. Turelli, 1995 Changes in genetic variances and covariances: **G Whiz!** *Evolution* **49**: 1260-1267.
- Shook, D. R., and T. E. Johnson, 1999 Quantitative trait loci affecting survival and fertility-related traits in *Caenorhabditis elegans* show genotype-environment interaction, pleiotropy and epistasis. *Genetics* **153**: 1233-1243.
- Stadler, M., A. Mayer, H. Anke, and O. Sterner, 1994 Fatty acids and other compounds isolated from culteres of Basidiomycetes. *Planta Med.* **60**: 128-132.
- Steppan, S. J., P. C. Phillips, D. Houle, 2002 Comparative quantitative genetics: evolution of the **G** matrix. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **17**: 320-327.
- Sulston J., and J. Hodgkin, 1988 Methods, pp. 587-606 in *The Nematode Caenorhabditis elegans*, edited by W. B. Wood. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Plainview, New York.
- The *C. elegans* Sequencing Consortium, 1998 Genome sequence of the nematode *C. elegans*: a platform for investigating biology. *Science* **282**: 2012-2018.

- Troemel, E. R., 1999 Chemosensory signaling in *C. elegans*. *Bioessays* **21**: 1011-1020.
- Turelli, M., 1988 Phenotypic evolution, constant covariances, and the maintenance of additive genetic variance. *Evolution* **42**: 1342-1347.
- Turelli, M., 1985 Effects of pleiotropy on predictions concerning mutation-selection balance for polygenic traits. *Genetics* **111**:165-195.
- Vassilieva, L. L., and M. Lynch, 1999 The rate of spontaneous mutation for life-history traits in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **151**: 119-129.
- Vassilieva, L. L., A. M. Hook, and M. Lynch, 2000 The fitness effects of spontaneous mutations in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Evolution* **54**: 1234-1247.
- Via, S. and R. Lande, 1985 Genotype-environment interaction and the evolution of phenotypic plasticity. *Evolution* **39**: 505-522.
- Wagner, G. P., 1996 Homologues, natural kinds and the evolution of modularity. *Am. Zool.* **36**: 36-43.
- Wagner, G. P., and L. Altenberg, 1996 Complex adaptations and the evolution of evolvability. *Evolution* **50**: 967-977.
- Whitlock, M. C., P. C. Phillips, and K. Fowler, 2002 Persistence of changes in the genetic covariance matrix after a bottleneck. *Evolution* **56**: 1968-1975.
- Wilkinson, G. S., K. Fowler and L. Partridge, 1990 Resistance of genetic correlation structure to directional selection in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Evolution* **44**: 1990-2003.
- Willis, J. H., J. A. Coyne, and M. Kirkpatrick, 1991 Can one predict the evolution of quantitative characters without genetics? *Evolution* **45**: 441-444.
- Wright, S., 1918 On the nature of size factors. *Genetics* **3**: 367-374.
- Wright, S, 1935 General, group and special size factors. *Genetics* **17**: 603-619.

- Wright, S., 1968 *Evolution and the Genetics of Populations. I. Genetic and Biometric Foundations*. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Wright, S., 1980 Genic and organismic selection. *Evolution* **34**: 825-843.
- Yoshimaru, H., and T. Mukai, 1985 Relationships between the polygenes affecting the rate of development and viability in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Jpn. J. Genet.* **60**: 307-334.
- Zhang, X-S, and W. G. Hill, 2003 Multivariate stabilizing selection and pleiotropy in the maintenance of quantitative genetic variation. *Evolution* **57**: 1761-1775.

TABLE 1
Mutational variances, covariances and correlations

	Early prod.	Late prod.	<i>r</i>	Body width	Directness	Velocity	Turn rate
Early productivity	102.6 ^{***}	0.849 ^{***}	0.851 ^{***}	0.492 ^{***}	-0.062	0.398 ^{**}	-0.076
Late productivity	290.0 ^{***}	1141 ^{***}	0.923 ^{***}	0.513 ^{***}	0.382	<i>0.470</i> [*]	0.048
<i>r</i>	2.316 ^{***}	8.331 ^{***}	0.071 ^{***}	0.583 ^{***}	-0.053	<i>0.311</i> [*]	-0.076
Body width	0.020 ^{***}	<i>0.067</i> [*]	<i>0.001</i> [*]	1.497 x 10 ^{-5*}	-0.309	<i>0.243</i> [*]	0.019
Directness	-0.028	1.329	-0.001	-1.056 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.007 [*]	-0.017	-0.549 ^{***}
Velocity	<i>1.162</i> [*]	4.610	0.023	2.779 x 10 ⁻⁴	-0.001	0.080 [*]	<i>-0.721</i> [*]
Turn rate	-0.148	1.352	-0.006	3.261 x 10 ⁻⁵	<i>-0.019</i> ^{**}	-0.063	0.141 [*]

Mutational correlations for each pair of traits above the diagonal; mutational variances on the diagonal; mutational covariances below the diagonal. *, **, and *** denote significant differences from zero at the 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 levels, respectively. Italicized type indicates estimates that were nonsignificant after correction for multiple comparisons (sequential Bonferroni, Rice 1989).

TABLE 2

Phenotypic and environmental correlations

	Early	Late	<i>r</i>	Width	Direct	Velocity	Turn
Early Prod.		0.651 ^{***}	0.705 ^{***}	0.179 ^{***}	0.120	0.233 ^{**}	0.075
Late Prod.	0.530 ^{***}		0.832 ^{***}	0.257 ^{***}	0.396	0.380 [*]	0.167
<i>r</i>	0.619 ^{***}	0.773 ^{***}		0.274 ^{***}	0.045	0.139 [*]	0.003
Width	0.015	0.125 [*]	0.109		-0.068	0.013	0.149 ^{**}
Directness	0.165	0.427	0.427	-0.029		-0.296 ^{***}	-0.172 ^{**}
Velocity	0.154	0.337	0.337	-0.081	-0.354 ^{***}		-0.374 ^{***}
Turn Rate	0.129	0.201	0.201	0.194 ^{***}	-0.116	-0.291 ^{***}	

Phenotypic (environmental) correlations for each pair of traits in the MA lines above (below) the diagonal. *, **, and *** denote significant differences from 0 at the 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 levels, respectively. Italicized type indicates estimates that were nonsignificant after correction for multiple comparisons (sequential Bonferroni, Rice 1989).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIGURE 1.—Examples of bivariate relationships of MA line means for life-history, behavioral and morphological characters. MA line-mean correlations provide a rough estimate of mutational correlations.

