### 1

# Behavioral degradation under mutation accumulation

Beverly C. Ajie\*<sup>1</sup>, Suzanne Estes\*<sup>2</sup>, Michael Lynch<sup>†</sup> and Patrick C. Phillips\*<sup>3</sup>

\*Center for Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97503-5289, <sup>†</sup>Biology Department, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405

<sup>1</sup>*Present address:* Department of Ecology & Evolution, One Shields Ave., Storer Hall, Davis, CA 95616

<sup>2</sup>*Present address:* Department of Zoology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331-2914

<sup>3</sup>*Corresponding Author:* Center for Ecology and Evolutionary Biology 5289 University of Oregon Eugene, OR 97503-5289 E-mail: pphil@uoregon.edu

(541) 346-0916 (541) 346-2364 (Fax)

Running head: Mutational variance for behavior Keywords: Behavior, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, chemotaxis, deleterious mutation, mutation accumulation.

### Abstract

Spontaneous mutations play a fundamental role in the maintenance of genetic variation in natural populations, the nature of inbreeding depression, the evolution of sexual reproduction, and the conservation of endangered species. Using long-term mutation-accumulation lines of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*, we estimate the rate and magnitude of mutational effects for a suite of behaviors characterizing individual chemosensory responses to a repellant stimulus. In accordance with evidence that the vast majority of mutations are deleterious, we find that behavioral responses degrade over time as a result of spontaneous mutation accumulation. The rate of mutation for behavioral traits is roughly of the same order or slightly smaller than those previously estimated for reproductive traits and the average size of the mutational effects is also comparable. These results have important implications for the maintenance of genetic variation for behavior in natural populations as well as for expectations for behavioral change within endangered species and captive populations.

As the ultimate source of novel variation upon which selection can act to produce adaptation to temporally and spatially variable environments, mutation is essential to the evolution and persistence of natural populations (LYNCH and WALSH 1998). Spontaneous mutations are thought to play a fundamental role in maintenance of genetic variation in natural populations, the nature of inbreeding depression, and the evolution of sexual reproduction (LYNCH et al. 1999). The accumulation of slightly deleterious mutations has also been shown to pose a potentially serious risk of extinction in small, isolated natural populations due to the increased efficacy of genetic drift (LYNCH et al. 1999). Captive populations may experience a similar threat as a result of being maintained for prolonged periods in benign conditions where selection against newly arising mutants is relaxed (BRYANT and REED 1989). Thus, a better understanding of the nature of spontaneous mutation accumulation will, in addition to furthering our understanding of a variety of evolutionary processes, be an essential component in assessing the consequences of mutation for the genetic integrity of endangered populations, and our own. As of yet however, the database on the characteristics of spontaneous mutation in natural populations remains limited in the number of both taxa and traits represented. Most of the studies conducted to date have focused on life history characters such as survival and reproduction, finding that genomic mutation rates are on the order of 0.01 to 1.0 per individual per generation in most higher organisms and that the majority of mutations arising have negative effects on fitness (LYNCH et al. 1999; although see KEIGHTLEY and LYNCH 2003; SHAW et al. 2002). Despite the broad implications of spontaneous deleterious mutation and the large number of genes underlying behavior that have been revealed by direct mutagenic

approaches in a variety of organisms, the rate of spontaneous mutation for behavioral traits has never been estimated.

The goal of this study is to obtain estimates of the basic mutation parameters for a suite of behavioral traits expected to have direct impact on individual fitness. Chemotaxis in the free-living soil nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* provides such a system. Chemosensation is the major means through which nematodes interact with the environment, with 5-10% of the *C. elegans* genome devoted to chemosensory function (BARGMANN 1998). For example, chemotaxis is known to play a vital role in the avoidance of predators, parasites and toxins; the location of food, hosts and mates; several steps in the male-mating pathway; and population regulation in response to increased density and resource depletion (TROEMEL 1999). Analysis of the molecular evolution of key components of the chemosensory pathway also suggest that chemotaxis is under strong selection (JOVELIN *et al.* 2003; JOVELIN and PHILLIPS 2005).

*C. elegans* chemotaxes within a chemical gradient by performing a series of pirouettes (turns), which orient the worm toward the gradient, interspersed with a long series of sinusoidal swimming motions, whereby the worm navigates along the gradient in the direction to which it has just oriented (PIERCE-SHIMOMURA *et al.* 2001; PIERCE-SHIMOMURA *et al.* 1999). This mode of chemotaxis is very similar to that used by bacteria (FALKE *et al.* 1997). We have quantified these different characteristics of chemotaxis behavior using a computerized tracking system (PIERCE-SHIMOMURA *et al.* 1999) (Fig. 1) in which we scored "directness" (the beeline distance divided by the total path length), average instantaneous velocity, and average turn rate in the presence of the repellant linoleic acid. We chose linoleic acid, a fatty acid with nematicidal properties that has been

isolated from live cultures of Bascidiomycetes (STADLER *et al.* 1994), because of the likelihood that it is, or resembles, a compound that nematodes encounter and respond to in their natural environment.

This study extends our previous work (VASSILIEVA *et al.* 2000) on mutation accumulation by focusing on a new class of traits, behavior. In doing so, we emphasize the need to account for ecologically relevant traits, in addition to life history, that may have large direct and indirect effects on fitness through their influence on resource acquisition, habitat selection and mate choice.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Mutation accumulation:** The mutation-accumulation (MA) experiment was initiated from a single highly inbred individual of the N2-Bristol wildtype strain (VASSILIEVA *et al.* 2000). Offspring of that individual were used to establish 100 replicate lines that were subsequently propagated by the transfer of a single, randomly selected individual across generations. The practice of repeated bottlenecking is employed to minimize the efficiency of natural selection against newly arising mutations. Concurrently with line division, several thousand individuals were frozen at -80°C for use as an ancestral control to be assayed in parallel with the experimental lines. Between assays, worms were cultured at 20°C on Petri plates containing NGM agar seeded with an ~80-90 µl suspension of OP50 *E. coli* (BRENNER 1974). At the time of the behavioral assay the lines had undergone an average of 370 generations of mutation accumulation. Extinction over this time period resulted in 67 extant lines that were used for the behavioral analysis.

**Behavioral analysis:** To initiate the behavioral assay, a single base control line was established from frozen stock and propagated for four generations by single-individual

5

bottlenecks to prevent maternal effects of freezing and to insure homozygosity. That line was maintained via single individual transfers for the duration of the experiment and used to establish the 67 control pseudo-lines assayed in parallel with the mutation accumulation lines. Chemotactic behavior was then quantified by taking three distinct measurements of individual responses to the repellant for five randomly selected progeny descendant from the founding individual of each MA and N2 line. Directness was defined so as to be independent of both time and absolute distance traveled in order to control for the likelihood that some of the experimental lines had acquired mutations affecting their ability to move effectively but not necessarily to detect and orient to odorants. It serves as a measure of how efficiently the chemotaxis system functions in moving the individual away from a noxious source. A turn was defined as a change in direction of 90° or more. Previous studies have shown that turn rate increases when worms are oriented opposite a gradient (PIERCE-SHIMOMURA et al. 2001; PIERCE-SHIMOMURA et al. 1999). Thus we expected turn rate to be increased in mutant, relative to control, individuals. Velocity, the average speed attainted by the worm over the course of the assay, was our indicator of general locomotory ability.

Each assay commenced with the transfer of a single L4 individual to the center (origin) of a clean 10cm Petri dish containing chemotaxis agar (BARGMANN *et al.* 1993) and the addition of 1µl of a 1% linoleic acid (Sigma) solution (freshly diluted in ethanol) adjacent to the worm. The position of the worm was recorded at one second intervals using a compound microscope equipped with a video camera and a motorized stage controlled by a computer running Image Pro Plus (Media Cybernetics) image analysis software (PIERCE-SHIMOMURA *et al.* 1999). MA and N2 individuals were assayed in an alternating fashion in order to correct for any fluctuations in environmental conditions over the course of the day. Individuals from different lines were interspersed during the assays so that any temporal effects, including recovery from larval lethargus, would be randomized across lines. A series of assays was also conducted in the absence of the repellant to assess the baseline locomotory response without an olfactory cue.

Statistical analysis: A slight temporal trend across days in the turn rate and velocity data was corrected by regressing the control line scores on day and then using the residuals for subsequent analysis. Mutational heritability was estimated as the ratio of the among mutant line variance over the average within line variance, divided by the twice the number of mutation accumulation generations (LYNCH and WALSH 1998) (2 x 370 in this case). Mutation-rate parameters were estimated both by comparing the change in mean of the mutant lines to the change in the among line variance (the Bateman-Mukai approach, LYNCH and WALSH 1998) and by using a maximum likelihood approach based on sampling from the actual distribution of the control lines (outlined in detail in VASSILIEVA et al. 2000). B-M approach is expected to yield downwardly biased estimates of mutation rate and upwardly biased estimates of mutation effect size (GARCÍA-DORADO and GALLEGO 2003; KEIGHTLEY 2004; LYNCH and WALSH 1998). Models assuming both mutations with constant effect (*MLc*) and mutations with variable effects drawn from a gamma distribution (MLv) were fit. The improvement of the fit of the latter over the former was tested using a  $\chi^2$  test of twice the difference of the likelihoods with one degree of freedom. Confidence intervals on the mutational heritabilities and B-M mutation estimates were obtained by bootstrapping over the MA lines, while those for the ML estimates were determined by a two unit decrease from the maximum on the likelihood surface. Directness was arcsinesquare-root transformed and velocity and turn rate were square-root transformed before estimation of mutational parameters to greatly enhance the normality of the error distribution and to improve performance in a subsequent analysis of mutation correlation structure (see ESTES *et al.* submitted). Estimates based on the untransformed scale are not significantly different.

#### RESULTS

After 370 generations of mutation accumulation, all three behavioral measures declined significantly in the mutant lines as compared to the ancestral population (which was assayed contemporaneously after having been frozen during the intervening period of time). Individuals accumulating spontaneous mutations were less direct in their avoidance paths, moved more slowly, and turned more frequently than the nonmutant controls (Table 1, Fig. 2). For directness and turn rate, the mutant lines exhibited behavioral phenotypes approaching those of the control line in the absence of the repellant (Fig. 2). Further, mutant lines were slower than the ancestral control line under all conditions. This overall reduction in chemotaxic and locomotory ability was accompanied by a significant increase in the variation among the different mutant lines, as expected (Fig. 3; Table 1).

The pattern of decline in mean phenotype and increase in among line variance is qualitatively very similar to results obtained for morphological and life-history characters in a wide variety of organisms (HOULE *et al.* 1996; LYNCH *et al.* 1999). The rates of change in mean phenotype (~0.1% per generation) and mutational heritabilities for these traits are on the same order or slightly smaller than those reported for life-history and morphological characters within these same experimental lines (Table 1; AZEVEDO *et al.* 2002; ESTES *et al.* submitted; VASSILIEVA *et al.* 2000). Estimates for the total genomic mutation rate were also

on the same order or somewhat smaller ( $U = 10^{-2} \cdot 10^{-3}$ ; Table 1), as were the average homozygous effect sizes of each mutation ( $\tilde{a} = 8-40\%$ ; Table 2). Although the variable effects model fit better for velocity and turn rate (thereby yielding larger estimates for mutation rate), estimates derived using different approaches are not significantly different from one another for any of the traits (Table 2). The mutation rates estimated for the simple characters (velocity and turn rate) were smaller than that for the composite trait (directness), while their mutation effect sizes were larger, suggesting that these traits are influenced by relatively fewer genes each with larger individual effects. Overall, then, we have shown that behavior is subject to a similar intensity of mutational pressure as that affecting morphology and life-history characters.

#### DISCUSSION

For many organisms, the ability to elicit appropriate behavioral responses to external environmental stimuli, such as cues indicating the presence or absence of food, predators, and conspecifics, as well as physiologically tolerable conditions (i.e., temperature, moisture, UV), is an essential component of individual survival. With this in mind, we analyzed two closely related behavioral traits, chemotaxis and locomotion, in highly divergent lines of *Caenorhabditis elegans* in order to determine the mutability of these fitness-related behavioral traits. Because locomotion and olfaction play an analogous role in many other organisms, and because the latter is accomplished by very similar molecular mechanisms (PRASAD and REED 1999), the results observed here are generalizable from both ecological and mechanistic perspectives. The results of this study strongly indicate that behavior, and its degradation, are crucial considerations in ascertaining the total fitness consequences of mutation accumulation for natural populations.

Mutational parameters: Estimates of mutational parameters for behavioral, morphological, and life history traits in these lines are all fairly comparable to one another (Table 1; AZEVEDO et al. 2002; ESTES et al. submitted; VASSILIEVA et al. 2000). A similar rate of mutational decline was observed for male motility in *Drosophila melanogaster* mutation accumulation lines after 30 generations, although estimates of mutation parameters could not be obtained in that study (SHABALINA et al. 1997; see LYNCH et al. 1999 for a critical discussion of this approach). This decline in behavioral response is also consistent with the genome-wide influence of EMS mutagenesis on male mating speed and phototaxis in D. melanogaster (KEIGHTLEY and OHNISHI 1998). In general, the mutation rates obtained here are likely to underestimate the actual genomic mutation rate both because our analysis assumes that the majority of new mutations are deleterious (SHAW et al. 2002) (although there is no evidence for beneficial mutations here; Fig. 3) and more importantly because there may be a large class of mutations that have effects that are too small to be detected in our assays (DAVIES et al. 1999; ESTES et al. 2004). Furthermore, although the genomic mutation rates for behavior reported here are lower on an absolute scale than those for reproductive traits in some other organisms, C. elegans itself appears to have a relatively lower overall genomic mutation rate (KEIGHTLEY and CABALLERO 1997; VASSILIEVA et al. 2000).

As evidenced by the relatively lower mutation rate and higher average effect size observed for velocity and turn rate, it appears that these two simple traits are under the control of relatively fewer genes of larger effect than life history characters. Conversely, directness showed a quantitatively very similar pattern to life history characters under mutation accumulation in *C. elegans* and other organisms. In the field, particularly in longlived species, behavioral traits are often used as surrogates for direct measurements of survival and reproduction do the difficulties involved in obtaining such estimates. Frequently, various aspects of locomotion such as sprint or burst speed are used to this end. These results suggest however, that complex behaviors such as directness may show patterns of mutational degradation more similar to other classes of fitness related traits and thus may be better suited to answering some questions regarding fitness and fitness decline in natural populations in the absence of life history data than other more simple behavioral measures.

**Implications for population viability:** Quantitative differences among traits not withstanding, our results indicate that behavioral traits are subject to significant mutational pressure on the same order as that for life history and morphological characters. This strongly suggests that behavioral degradation is a potentially comparable source of fitness loss under conditions of mutation accumulation—one that has been largely absent in previous estimates of the deleterious consequences of recurrent mutation for natural populations. In endangered and captive-bred populations, then, the influence of behavioral degradation under mutation accumulation should be considered alongside other mutational effects when evaluating the impact that mutation might have on the long-term viability of these populations (LANDE 1995; LYNCH and O'HELY 2001).

**Conclusions:** Although it remains to be seen whether the responses seen here for locomotion and olfaction can be regarded as typical for other behavioral traits (SHABALINA *et al.* 1997), such as those influenced by learning, this work demonstrates that mutation

accumulation can generate significant levels of individual variation in ecologically relevant behavioral traits within populations (JOVELIN et al. 2003). The implications of this variation for individual populations will depend in large part on their specific genetic (architecture) and demographic characteristics; small and/or isolated populations being most at risk of experiencing behavioral degradation. Understanding the properties of newly arising mutations, then, will be crucial to elucidating the genetic basis of the diversity of behavioral responses exhibited in natural populations of many organisms, including humans. Furthermore, while mutagenic studies have been extremely successful in identifying genetic components for almost every behavioral trait of ecological interest, the methods often employed in these studies (knockout and large insertion/deletion mutants) are not likely to be representative of the type of variation present in natural populations (BOAKE et al. 2002). The data gathered here represents a more realistic picture of the distribution and time scale over which genetic and phenotypic variation in behavior can be expected to occur in natural populations, and is therefore fundamental to our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the processes of both extinction and adaptation.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank J. Pierce-Shimomura and S. Lockery for software and experimental advice. We also thank J. Morphew and B. Gerami-Niani for their efforts on initial chemotaxis mutation accumulation experiments and anonymous reviewers for helpful comments. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant (GM54185) and National Science Foundation Grant (DEB-0088083) to PCP, National Institutes of Health grant (GM36827) to ML, and by training fellowships from the National Science Foundation (DBI-9413223) and the U.S. Public Health Service (GM-07413) to SE.

- AZEVEDO, R. B., P. D. KEIGHTLEY, C. LAUREN-MAATTA, L. L. VASSILIEVA, M. LYNCH et al., 2002 Spontaneous mutational variation for body size in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 162: 755-765.
- BARGMANN, C. I., 1998 Neurobiology of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome. Science **282**: 2028-2033.
- BARGMANN, C. L., E. HARTWEIG and H. R. HORVITZ, 1993 Odorant-selective genes and neurons mediate olfaction in *C. elegans*. Cell **74**: 515-527.
- BOAKE, C. R. B., S. J. ARNOLD, F. BREDEN, L. M. MEFFERT, M. G. RITCHIE *et al.*, 2002 Genetic tools for studying adaptation and the evolution of behavior. American Naturalist 160: S143-S159.
- BRENNER, S., 1974 The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 77: 71-94.
- BRYANT, E. H., and D. H. REED, 1989 Fitness decline under relaxed selection in captive populations. Conservation Biology **13**: 665-669.
- DAVIES, E. K., A. D. PETERS and P. D. KEIGHTLEY, 1999 High frequency of cryptic deleterious mutations in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Science **285**: 1748-1751.
- ESTES, S., B. C. AJIE, M. LYNCH and P. C. PHILLIPS, submitted Spontaneous mutational correlations for life-history, morphological and behavioral characters in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics submitted.
- ESTES, S., P. C. PHILLIPS, D. R. DENVER, W. K. THOMAS and M. LYNCH, 2004 Mutation accumulation in populations of varying size: The distribution of mutational effects for fitness correlates in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics **166**: 1269-1279.
- FALKE, J. J., R. B. BASS, S. L. BUTLER, S. A. CHERVITZ and M. A. DANIELSON, 1997 The two-component signaling pathway of bacterial chemotaxis: a molecular view of signal transduction by receptors, kinases, and adaptation enzymes. Annu. Rev. Cell. Dev. Biol. 13: 457-512.
- GARCÍA-DORADO, A., and A. GALLEGO, 2003 Comparing analysis methods for mutationaccumulation data: a simulation study. Genetics **164:** 807-819.

- HOULE, D., B. MORIKAWA and M. LYNCH, 1996 Comparing mutational variabilities. Genetics **143**: 1467-1483.
- JOVELIN, R., B. C. AJIE and P. C. PHILLIPS, 2003 Molecular evolution and quantitative variation for chemosensory behaviour in the nematode genus Caenorhabditis. Molecular Ecology 12: 1325-1337.
- JOVELIN, R., and P. C. PHILLIPS, 2005 Functional constraint and divergence in the G protein
- family in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *C. briggsae*. Molecular Genetics and Genomics in press.
- KEIGHTLEY, P. D., 2004 Comparing analysis methods for mutation-accumulation data. Genetics **167:** 551-553.
- KEIGHTLEY, P. D., and A. CABALLERO, 1997 Genomic mutation rates for lifetime reproductive output and lifespan in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 94: 3823-3827.
- KEIGHTLEY, P. D., and M. LYNCH, 2003 Toward a realistic model of mutations affecting fitness. Evolution 57: 683-685.
- KEIGHTLEY, P. D., and O. OHNISHI, 1998 EMS-induced polygenic mutation rates for nine quantitative characters in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics **148**: 753-766.
- LANDE, R., 1995 Mutation and conservation. Conservation Biology 9: 782-791.
- LYNCH, M., J. BLANCHARD, D. HOULE, T. KIBOTA, S. SCHULTZ *et al.*, 1999 Perspective: spontaneous deleterious mutation. Evolution **53**: 645-663.
- LYNCH, M., and M. O'HELY, 2001 Supplementation and the genetic fitness of natural populations. Conservation Genetics **2:** 363-378.
- LYNCH, M., and J. B. WALSH, 1998 *Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits*. Sinauer, New York.
- PIERCE-SHIMOMURA, J. T., S. FAUMONT, M. R. GASTON, B. J. PEARSON and S. R. LOCKERY, 2001 The homeobox gene *lim-6* is required for distinct chemosensory representations in *C. elegans*. Nature **410**: 694-698.

- PIERCE-SHIMOMURA, J. T., T. M. MORSE and S. R. LOCKERY, 1999 The fundamental role of pirouettes in *Caenorhabditis elegans* chemotaxis. J. Neurosci. **19:** 9557-9569.
- PRASAD, B. C., and R. R. REED, 1999 Chemosensation: molecular mechanisms in worms and mammals. Trends in Genetics **15**: 150-153.
- SHABALINA, S. A., L. YAMPOLSKY and A. S. KONDRASHOV, 1997 Rapid decline of fitness in panmictic populations of *Drosophila melanogaster* maintained under relaxed natural selection. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 94: 13034-13039.
- SHAW, F. H., C. J. GEYER and R. G. SHAW, 2002 A comprehensive model of mutations affecting fitness and inferences for *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Evolution **56**: 453-463.
- STADLER, M., A. MAYER, H. ANKE and O. STERNER, 1994 Fatty acids and other compounds isolated from cultures of Bascidiomycetes. Planta Med. 60: 128-132.
- TROEMEL, E. R., 1999 Chemosensory signaling in C. elegans. Bioessays 21: 1011-1020.
- VASSILIEVA, L. L., A. M. HOOK and M. LYNCH, 2000 The fitness effects of spontaneous mutations in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Evolution **54**: 1234-1246.

### TABLE 1

# Means and rates of change in means for characters in control and MA lines, and mutational

Character	Mean <sub>Control</sub>	Mean <sub>MA</sub>	$R_m$	% change	$h^2_m$
Directness	0.264 (0.007)	0.213 (0.007)	-0.0001 (2.32 x 10 <sup>-5</sup> )	-0.05	0.0002 (0.0001)
Velocity	8.41 (0.17)	7.84 (0.19)	-0.0015 (0.0007)	-0.02	0.0004 (0.0002)
Turn rate	4.66 (0.16)	6.70 (0.25)	+0.0055 (0.0012)	+0.12	0.0012 (0.0007)
Early productivity	44.01 (1.701)	19.28 (0.701)	-0.0666 (0.0051)	-0.15	0.0017 (0.0004)
Late productivity	163.5 (4.191)	105.1 (2.326)	-0.1607 (0.0168)	-0.10	0.0017 (0.0004)
r	1.507 (0.012)	1.095 (0.018)	-0.0011 (0.0001)	-0.74	0.0011 (0.0003)
Width	0.056 (0.0004)	0.051 (0.0003)	-1.311 x 10 <sup>-5</sup> (2.02 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> )	-0.23	0.0011 (0.0003)

### heritabilities

Means for each character in the control and MA lines, per generation rates of decline in mean phenotype ( $R_m$ ) observed for the MA lines,  $R_m$  standardized by the control mean (% change), and mutational heritabilities ( $h^2_m$ ), with one standard error in parentheses. Estimates for the life history and body size traits are provided for comparison and are described in Estes et al. (submitted).

	Genomic mutation rate (U)			Average mutational effect size $(\bar{a})$				
Trait	$U_{ m B-M}$	$U_{ m MLc}$	$U_{ m MLv}$	ā <sub>B-M</sub>	${ar a}_{ m MLc}$	$ar{a}_{ m MLv}$	CV(a)	
Directness	0.0177	0.0124		-0.04	-0.08			
	(0.0046, 0.0344)	(0.0062, 0.0252)		(-0.08, -0.01)	(-0.14, -0.04)			
Velocity	0.0011	0.0025	0.0092	-0.16	-0.16	-0.07	2.4	
	(0.0004, 0.0022)	(0.0012, 0.0042)	(0.0016, 0.0164)	(-0.26, -0.06)	(-0.26, -0.13)	(-0.31, -0.05)	(1.3, 2.5)	
Turn Rate	0.0020	0.0024	0.0038	0.20	0.35	0.25	1.17	
	(0.0008, 0.0036)	(0.0013, 0.0043)	(0.0013, 0.0064)	(0.09, 0.31)	(0.26, 0.41)	(0.18, 0.63)	(0.61, 1.20)	

## Table 2. Estimates of genomic mutation parameters

Estimates and 95% confidence intervals. Estimators: B-M=Bateman-Mukai, MLc=Maximum likelihood estimates assuming constant mutational effects, MLv=Maximum likelihood estimates assuming variable mutational effects. The variable effects model did not fit significantly better than the constant effects model for directness, but did fit better for velocity and turn rate using a chi-square test, p < 0.01. Mutational effect size values are relative to the control mean. CV(a) is the coefficient of variation for the effects under the variable effects model (MLv).

### **Figure Captions**

FIGURE 1. Computerized tracking of nematode behavior in response to a repellent chemical (linoleic acid, located at the star). Lines give the path taken by an individual nematode over a four-minute period, beginning at the circle and ending at the arrowhead. (a) An individual from the ancestral control line showing a clear avoidance response. (b) An individual from one of the mutation accumulation lines that showed low avoidance scores. Directness is given by the beeline distance divided by the total path length. Velocity and turn rate are estimated respectively using instantaneous changes in speed and angle as the individual navigates away from the chemical. Note the change in scale between the two graphs.

FIGURE 2. Change in behavior after 370 generations of mutation accumulation. Average values for the unmutated control (gray bar), the mutation accumulation lines (dark bar), and the control in the absence of the repellent chemical (white bar) are given. Behavior strongly declines under the accumulation of spontaneous mutations, either becoming more similar to the control in the absence of a stimulus (directness and turn rate) or simply showing an overall degradation in response (velocity). Error bars are 95% CI.

FIGURE 3. Change in variance among lines under mutation accumulation. Pseudo-replicate control lines (light bars) provide estimates of the among-line environmental variance. The increase in variance beyond this shown by the mutation accumulation lines (dark bars) provides estimates of the genomic mutation rate and the average size of mutational effects.





